arXiv:1411.1304v1 [math-ph] 5 Nov 2014

Playing with functions of positive type, classical and quantum

Paolo Aniello[1,2]

[1] *Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Napoli "Federico II", Complesso Universitario di Monte S. Angelo, via Cintia, 80126 Napoli, Italy*

[2] *Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Napoli, Complesso Universitario di Monte S. Angelo, via Cintia, 80126 Napoli, Italy*

Abstract

A function of positive type can be defined as a positive functional on a convolution algebra of a locally compact group. In the case where the group is abelian, by Bochner's theorem a function of positive type is, up to normalization, the Fourier transform of a probability measure. Therefore, considering the group of translations on phase space, a suitably normalized phase-space function of positive type can be regarded as a realization of a classical state. Thus, it may be called a *function of classical positive type*. Replacing the ordinary convolution on phase space with the *twisted* convolution, one obtains a noncommutative algebra of functions whose positive functionals we may call *functions of quantum positive type*. In fact, by a quantum version of Bochner's theorem, a *continuous* function of quantum positive type is, up to normalization, the (symplectic) Fourier transform of a Wigner quasi-probability distribution; hence, it can be regarded as a phase-space realization of a quantum state. Playing with functions of positive type — classical and quantum — one is led in a natural way to consider a class of semigroups of operators, the *classical-quantum semigroups*. The physical meaning of these mathematical objects is unveiled via quantization, so obtaining a class of quantum dynamical semigroups that, borrowing terminology from quantum information science, may be called *classical-noise semigroups*.

1 Introduction

As is well known, theoretical physics and mathematics are inextricably intertwined sciences. A striking example of this fruitful relationship is the profound role that the theory of groups and of group representations plays in quantum mechanics and quantum field theory, and, conversely, the impulse that the former subject has received due to its interaction with the latter [1–7]. In this context, a central position — historically and conceptually — is certainly held by the phase-space approach to quantum theory, a topic that has developed into a vast and interesting research field thanks to the pioneering work of outstanding scientists like Weyl, Wigner, Groenewold and Moyal [1, 8–10], at an early stage, and to the efforts of several other researchers up to this day; see, e.g., [11–18], and references therein.

The present paper is aimed at providing a simple example of how a mathematical notion arising in the realm of (abstract) *harmonic analysis* — essentially, the theory of topological groups, of their representations and of suitable spaces of functions on such groups — assumes a precise meaning when considered from the point of view of classical statistical mechanics or of phase-space quantum mechanics, and may give rise to new insights and applications.

A fundamental concept in harmonic analysis is that of *function of positive type* on a locally compact group [19, 20]. In the case where the group is abelian, by a classical theorem of

Bochner [21, 22] such a function can be regarded as the Fourier transform of a probability measure — a so-called *characteristic function* — up to normalization. In classical statistical mechanics, probability measures play the role of physical states. Thus, it turns out that one can realize such a state, alternatively, by a function of positive type on phase space. The latter realization is often more convenient to deal with, since it relies on ordinary $\mathbb{C}$-valued functions, rather than on quite abstract objects like measures.

In the standard formulation of quantum mechanics, the physical states are realized as density operators, namely, as normalized, positive trace class operators. In this language, there is no direct link with the notion of function of positive type. However, from an algebraic point of view, states are positive (linear) functionals on the $*$-algebra of observables (the C*-algebra algebra of bounded operators) [23, 24]. This is analogous to the classical case, where the complex (Radon) measures [25] on phase space provide a realization of the bounded functionals on the commutative algebra of continuous complex functions vanishing at infinity. In this setting, the states are the normalized positive functionals — namely, the probability measures — while the observables are the real functions (the selfadjoint part of the $*$-algebra of observables). Alternatively, as already mentioned, one can realize the physical states as normalized functions of positive type on phase space, that can be regarded as functionals on the Banach space of integrable functions, which is an algebra with respect to convolution.

The analogy between the classical and the quantum case becomes much deeper, however, by considering the phase-space formulation of quantum mechanics. In this approach, states are realized as quasi-probability distributions (the Wigner functions) and the (symplectic) Fourier transform of these distributions — the *quantum characteristic functions* — can also be regarded as *suitably* normalized positive functionals on a certain noncommutative $*$-algebra of square integrable functions. We call (all) the positive functionals on this algebra *functions of quantum positive type*. The relation between the intrinsic characterization of functions of quantum positive type and the property of being a quantum characteristic function is a quantum counterpart of Bochner's theorem [26–28] (also see [29, 30]).

Beside these remarkable analogies between the classical and the quantum case, there are a few noteworthy differences. Whereas in the classical setting functions of positive type are automatically continuous, in the quantum setting continuity is precisely the condition that allows one to single out, up to normalization, the quantum characteristic functions among all functions of quantum positive type. Moreover, in the classical case functions of positive type are normalized in the sense of functionals. In the quantum case, a different kind of normalization criterion (for the continuous functions of quantum positive type) has to be applied for selecting the physical states and, for these states, normalization in the sense of functionals has to be interpreted as the square root of *purity* [31]. The two normalization criteria coincide precisely for *pure* states.

The framework of functions of positive type can also be regarded as a common arena where classical and quantum states can be put all together in the same game. Indeed, probability measures on phase space form a semigroup, with respect to convolution, and, accordingly, functions of positive type form a semigroup with respect to point-wise multiplication. In this context, natural objects are a convolution semigroup of probability measures and, associated with this, a multiplication semigroup of (normalized) positive definite functions. On the other hand, one can show that the point-wise product of a function of positive type by a continuous function of *quantum* positive type is again a function of the *latter* type. These ingredients allow one to obtain a semigroup of operators — a so-called *classical-quantum semigroup* [32] — acting in the Banach space generated by the continuous functions of quantum positive type,

out of a multiplication semigroup of positive definite functions. At this point, it is natural to wonder whether such a semigroup of operators, which arises when playing with functions of positive type, classical and quantum, has any physical meaning.

By quantizing a classical-quantum semigroup, one obtains a new semigroup of operators acting in the Banach space of trace class operators. This semigroup of operators turns out to be a *quantum dynamical semigroup*, namely, it describes the evolution of an open quantum system [33, 34]. The quantized counterpart of a classical-quantum semigroup belongs, in particular, to the class of *twirling semigroups* [35–37], and has a precise role in the context of quantum information science. Because of this role, we will call it a *classical-noise semigroup*.

The paper is organized as follows. In sect. 2, we introduce the notions of function of classical (subsect. 2.1) and quantum (subsect. 2.2) positive type, and we discuss their physical meaning. Next, in sect. 3, we show that associated with every multiplication semigroup of functions of positive type is associated a semigroup of operators, i.e., a classical-quantum semigroup. Via quantization, one finds out that a classical-quantum semigroup is mapped into a quantum dynamical semigroup; see sect. 4. Finally, in sect. 5, a few conclusions are drawn.

2 Functions of positive type: classical and quantum

In this section, we will establish some basic facts concerning functions of positive type on phase space and their quantum counterpart, and discuss the relevance of these functions in classical (statistical) mechanics and in quantum mechanics. Usually, we will feel free to mention and use well known results from functional analysis and abstract harmonic analysis that can be found in standard references; see, e.g., [19–21] for functions of positive type, [20, 21, 25] for Fourier analysis on abelian groups and complex measures, [24, 38] for $*$-algebras and [11, 13, 17, 18] for Wigner distributions, the associated quantum characteristic functions and the twisted convolution. Explicit reference to the literature will be made for nonstandard facts only.

2.1 The classical case

Given a locally compact group G (precisely, we will assume that it is a locally compact, second countable, Hausdorff topological group), let $\mathrm{L}^1(G)$ be the Banach space of $\mathbb{C}$-valued functions on G, integrable w.r.t. the left Haar measure ν_G. As is well known, $\mathrm{L}^1(G)$ — endowed with the convolution product $(\cdot)\circledcirc(\cdot)$,

$$(\varphi_1 \circledcirc \varphi_2)(g) := \int_G \varphi_1(h)\varphi_2(h^{-1}g)\,\mathrm{d}\nu_G(h), \tag{1}$$

and with the involution $\mathsf{I}\colon \varphi \mapsto \varphi^*$,

$$\varphi^*(g) := \Delta_G(g^{-1})\,\overline{\varphi(g^{-1})}, \tag{2}$$

with Δ_G denoting the modular function on G — becomes a Banach $*$-algebra $\big(\mathrm{L}^1(G), \circledcirc, \mathsf{I}\big)$.

Definition 1 *A positive, bounded linear functional on the Banach $*$-algebra $\big(\mathrm{L}^1(G), \circledcirc, \mathsf{I}\big)$, realized as a function in the Banach space of ν_G-essentially bounded functions $\mathrm{L}^\infty(G)$, is called a* function of positive type *on G. Namely, a function χ on G is said to be of positive type if it belongs to $\mathrm{L}^\infty(G)$ and*

$$\int_G \chi(g)\,(\varphi^* \circledcirc \varphi)(g)\,\mathrm{d}\nu_G(g) \geq 0, \tag{3}$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathrm{L}^1(G)$.

Remark 1 By our previous assumptions, G is σ-compact and ν_G is σ-finite, so the Banach space $\mathrm{L}^\infty(G)$ of essentially bounded functions on G, defined in the usual way [25], can be identified with the dual space of $\mathrm{L}^1(G)$, and certain technicalities regarding the (re-)definition of $\mathrm{L}^\infty(G)$ — see, e.g., sect. 2.3 of [20] — are not necessary in this case.

A function of positive type $\chi \in \mathrm{L}^\infty(G)$ agrees ν_G-almost everywhere with a (bounded) continuous function[1] and

$$\|\chi\|_\infty := \nu_G\text{-ess sup}_{g\in G}\,|\chi(g)| = \chi(e), \tag{4}$$

with e denoting the identity in G and $\chi(e)$ the value at e of the 'continuous version' of χ.

For a bounded continuous function $\chi\colon G \to \mathbb{C}$ the following facts are equivalent:

P1) χ is of positive type;

P2) χ satisfies condition (3), for all $\varphi \in \mathsf{C_c}(G)$ (the linear space of continuous $\mathbb{C}$-valued functions on G, with compact support);

P3) χ satisfies the condition

$$\int_G \int_G \chi(g^{-1}h)\,\overline{\varphi(g)}\,\varphi(h)\;\mathrm{d}\nu_G(g)\,\mathrm{d}\nu_G(h) \geq 0, \tag{5}$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathsf{C_c}(G)$;

P4) χ is a *positive definite function*, i.e.,

$$\sum_{j,k} \chi(g_j^{-1}g_k)\,\overline{c_j}\,c_k \geq 0, \tag{6}$$

for every finite set $\{g_1, \ldots, g_m\} \subset G$ and arbitrary complex numbers $c_1, \ldots, c_m$.

Note that the equivalence between the second and the third point above is obtained by a simple change of variables in the integrals, and relation (6) can be regarded as a discretized version of (5).

Let us now focus on the case where G is abelian (in particular, a vector group). We will denote by $\widehat{G}$ the Pontryagin dual of G and by $\mathrm{CM}(\widehat{G})$ the Banach space of complex Radon measures on $\widehat{G}$. In this case, according to Bochner's theorem, we can add a further item to the previous list of equivalent properties:

P5) χ is the Fourier (i.e., Fourier-Stieltjes) transform of a positive measure $\mu \in \mathrm{CM}(\widehat{G})$.

In the case where the positive measure $\mu \in \mathrm{CM}(\widehat{G})$ is a probability measure — $\mu(\widehat{G}) = 1$ — this normalization condition translates into $\chi(0) = 1$ (with 0 denoting the identity in the abelian group G) and, taking into account relation (4), the latter condition is nothing but the normalization of χ regarded as a functional on $\mathrm{L}^1(G)$. In the context of probability theory, χ is usually called the *characteristic function* associated with μ [22].

If G is the group of translations on the $(n+n)$-dimensional phase space — i.e., the vector group $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ — we can obviously identify $\widehat{G}$ with G itself, and use the *symplectic* Fourier transform [11], instead of the ordinary one.

[1] As in Definition 1, with a standard abuse, here and in the following we do not make a distinction between an element of $\mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, which is an equivalence class of functions, and a representative in this class.

The physical meaning and relevance of functions of positive type become evident as soon as one considers that probability measures on phase space play the role of physical *states* in classical statistical mechanics; see, e.g., [24]. Indeed, from the algebraic point of view a classical state is a normalized positive functional on the C*-algebra of (classical) observables. Taking into account Gelfand theory of commutative C*-algebras [38], according to which such an algebra is isomorphic to an algebra of continuous functions vanishing at infinity, a natural choice for the algebra of classical observables on phase space is $\mathsf{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, the Banach space of continuous $\mathbb{C}$-valued functions on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ vanishing at infinity, which is a C*-algebra if endowed with the point-wise product (the *true* observables forming the selfadjoint part $\mathsf{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$ of the whole algebra). The dual space of $\mathsf{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ is $\mathrm{CM}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, the space of complex Radon measures on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, and the states on the C*-algebra $\mathsf{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ are precisely the probability measures on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Clearly, the expectation value of an observable $f \in \mathsf{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$ in the state $\mu \in \mathrm{CM}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ is provided by the expression

$$\langle f \rangle_\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} f(q,p)\, \mathrm{d}\mu(q,p). \tag{7}$$

Unfortunately, probability measures are in general rather abstract objects to deal with, so that it is often useful to replace a classical state $\mu \in \mathrm{CM}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ with its symplectic Fourier transform:

$$\widetilde{\mu}(q,p) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(q\cdot p' - p\cdot q')}\, \mathrm{d}\mu(q',p'). \tag{8}$$

Moreover, as is well known, the (symplectic) Fourier transform maps $\mathrm{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, continuously and injectively, into a dense linear subspace $\mathcal{F}\mathrm{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ of $\mathsf{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$\varphi \mapsto \check{\varphi}, \quad \check{\varphi}(q,p) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(q',p')\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(q'\cdot p - p'\cdot q)}\, \mathrm{d}^n q' \mathrm{d}^n p'. \tag{9}$$

Then, by Fubini's theorem, for every observable $f \in \mathcal{F}\mathrm{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ the expectation value $\langle f \rangle_\mu$ can be expressed in the following form:

$$\langle f \rangle_\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(q,p)\, \chi(q,p)\, \mathrm{d}^n q\, \mathrm{d}^n p =: \langle \varphi, \chi \rangle, \quad \text{with } \check{\varphi} = f \text{ and } \chi = \widetilde{\mu}. \tag{10}$$

Here $\langle \varphi, \chi \rangle$ should be regarded as the pairing between $\varphi \in \mathrm{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\chi \in \mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ (a function of positive type).

Remark 2 The condition that $f \in \mathcal{F}\mathrm{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ be an observable — $f = \overline{f}$ — corresponds to the condition that φ be *selfadjoint*: $\varphi = \mathsf{I}\,\varphi$; namely, that $\varphi(q,p) = \overline{\varphi(-q,-p)}$.

Remark 3 The pairing between $\mathsf{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathrm{CM}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ can be completely restored considering that the C*-algebra $\mathsf{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ is isomorphic, via the Fourier transform, to the group C*-algebra $\mathrm{C}^*(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ (the universal C*-completion of $\mathrm{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$), and the dual of the Banach space $\mathrm{C}^*(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ can be identified with the subspace $\mathsf{LP}_n \equiv \mathsf{LP}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ of $\mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ consisting of all complex linear superpositions of continuous functions of positive type on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$; see [39].

We can summarize the picture outlined above as follows:

- The notion of function of positive type is group-theoretical and can be defined for any locally compact group, giving rise to various equivalent characterizations. In the case of an abelian group, a function of positive type can be characterized, up to normalization, as the Fourier transform of a probability measure.

- In the standard approach to classical statistical mechanics, the physical states are realized as probability measures on phase space, while the observables form the selfadjoint part of the C*-algebra $\mathsf{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$.

- Alternatively, one can undertake a *characteristic function approach* by replacing probability measures with the corresponding normalized functions of positive type (characteristic functions). In this approach, the standard observables are (densely) replaced with the functions belonging to the selfadjoint part of the Banach $*$-algebra $\mathrm{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$.

- Whereas the dual space of $\mathrm{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ is $\mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, the *positive* functionals on $\mathrm{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ are precisely the (continuous) functions of positive type on phase space. Therefore, in the characteristic function approach $\mathrm{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ may be thought of as the algebra of observables *tout court* (instead of the group C*-algebra $\mathrm{C}^*(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$).

Continuous functions of positive type form a convex cone $\mathsf{P}_n \equiv \mathsf{P}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ in $\mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, and characteristic functions — i.e., normalized continuous functions of positive type — form a convex subset $\breve{\mathsf{P}}_n$ of P_n. Since, as it will be shown in subsect. 2.2, there is a quantum analogue of functions of positive type on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, the elements of P_n will be sometimes referred to as *functions of classical positive type*.

2.2 The quantum case

In the standard formulation of quantum mechanics *normal states* [23] are realized as *density operators*, namely, as normalized, positive trace class operators in a certain Hilbert space. Within this formalism, there is no *direct* connection between a physical state and anything analogous to the notion of function of positive type. On the other hand, a profound link of this kind does emerge if one adopts a phase-space approach to quantum mechanics. Whereas various phase-space formalisms have been proposed in the literature, see e.g. [17, 40–47], the prototype is certainly the remarkable approach developed by Weyl, Wigner, Groenewold and Moyal [1,8–10] — which includes a suitable quantization-dequantization scheme and the notion of star product of functions — approach that may be called the *WWGM formulation* of quantum mechanics.

In the WWGM formulation, a *pure* state $\hat{\rho}_\psi = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$, $\psi \in \mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($\|\psi\| = 1$), is replaced with a function $\varrho_\psi \colon \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ defined according to Wigner's classical prescription, i.e.,

$$\varrho_\psi(q,p) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}p\cdot x}\, \psi\Big(q - \frac{x}{2}\Big)^*\, \psi\Big(q + \frac{x}{2}\Big)\, \mathrm{d}^n x\,, \tag{11}$$

where we have set $\hbar = 1$. This definition, by means of the spectral decomposition of a positive trace class operator, extends in a natural way to any density operator in $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and then, by taking (finite) linear superpositions, to every trace class operator. The phase-space functions associated with (normal) states are usually called *Wigner functions*.

By this construction, one obtains a separable complex Banach space of functions that will be denoted by LW_n (the image via the Wigner map, see sect. 4 *infra*, of the Banach space of trace class operators in $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$). This linear space contains a convex cone W_n, formed by

those functions that are associated with *positive* trace class operators in $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, and W_n contains the convex set $\breve{\mathsf{W}}_n$ formed by the Wigner functions. Within W_n, the Wigner functions are precisely those functions satisfying the normalization condition

$$\lim_{r \to +\infty} \int_{|q|^2+|p|^2<r} \varrho(q,p)\ \mathrm{d}^n q\, \mathrm{d}^n p = \mathrm{tr}(\hat{\rho}) = 1, \tag{12}$$

see [48], where $\varrho \in \breve{\mathsf{W}}_n$ is the function canonically associated with a certain state $\hat{\rho}$.

A Wigner function ϱ is real but, in general, it is not a genuine probability distribution, since it may assume negative values; moreover, it is square integrable but, in general, *not* integrable [48], fact that is taken into account in the lhs of (12). Nevertheless, one can express the expectation value of an observable $\hat{A}$ in the state $\hat{\rho}$ — $\langle \hat{A} \rangle_{\hat{\rho}} = \mathrm{tr}(\hat{A}\,\hat{\rho})$) — as an integral on phase space,

$$\langle \hat{A} \rangle_{\hat{\rho}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \alpha(q,p)\, \varrho(q,p)\ \mathrm{d}^n q\, \mathrm{d}^n p, \tag{13}$$

where α is a real function associated with the operator $\hat{A}$. Formula (12) and the normalization condition (13) explain why the Wigner function ϱ is often called a *quasi-probability distribution*.

Remark 4 Actually, not all the observables can be realized as ordinary functions in the WWGM formulation. In general, they form a suitable class of distributions (generalized functions), see [49]. This fact, however, will not play any role in the following.

As in the classical setting, also in the quantum case one can replace a Wigner quasi-probability distribution with its symplectic Fourier transform. Precisely, taking into account the fact that a Wigner function is square integrable (but, in general, not integrable), one should use the symplectic Fourier-Plancherel operator $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathrm{sp}}$, which is the selfadjoint unitary operator in $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ determined by

$$\big(\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathrm{sp}} f\big)(q,p) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} f(q',p')\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(q\cdot p' - p\cdot q')}\ \mathrm{d}^n q'\, \mathrm{d}^n p', \tag{14}$$

for all $f \in \mathrm{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, the space LW_n is mapped onto a dense subspace LQ_n of $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\mathsf{LQ}_n := \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathrm{sp}}\, \mathsf{LW}_n, \tag{15}$$

and the convex cone $\mathsf{W}_n \subset \mathsf{LW}_n$ is mapped onto a convex cone $\mathsf{Q}_n \subset \mathsf{LQ}_n$. Each function in LQ_n agrees almost everywhere (w.r.t. Lebesgue measure) with a continuous function. Actually, it will often be convenient, in the following, to regard LQ_n as a linear space of continuous functions.

By analogy with the classical case, we may call a function $\widetilde{\varrho}$ in Q_n, defined by

$$\widetilde{\varrho} := (2\pi)^n\, \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathrm{sp}}\, \varrho, \quad \varrho \in \breve{\mathsf{W}}_n, \tag{16}$$

the *quantum characteristic function* associated with the quasi-probability distribution ϱ. The factor $(2\pi)^n$ appearing in (16) is chosen in such a way that the quantum characteristic functions, similarly to the classical case, are those functions in Q_n satisfying the normalization condition

$$\widetilde{\varrho}(0) = 1, \tag{17}$$

with $0 \equiv (0,0)$ denoting the origin in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Thus, the quantum characteristic functions form a convex subset $\breve{\mathsf{Q}}_n = (2\pi)^n\, \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathrm{sp}}\, \breve{\mathsf{W}}_n$ of LQ_n.

A natural task in the WWGM approach is to provide a *fully* self-consistent formulation of quantum theory, task that obviously includes the problem of characterizing *intrinsically* the convex set of physical states $\breve{\mathsf{W}}_n$ or, equivalently, the convex set $\breve{\mathsf{Q}}_n$ of quantum characteristic functions. The analysis of this problem leads in a natural way to the notion of *function of quantum positive type*.

As in the classical setting, we first consider a $*$-algebra of functions, and then define the functions of positive type as suitable functionals on this algebra. To this aim, we recall that the Hilbert space $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ becomes a $*$-algebra — precisely, a H*-algebra [18, 50] — once endowed with the *twisted convolution* $(\cdot) \circledast (\cdot)$,

$$\big(\mathcal{A}_1 \circledast \mathcal{A}_2\big)(q,p) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{A}_1(q',p')\, \mathcal{A}_2(q-q',p-p')\, \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}(q\cdot p' - p\cdot q')}\, \mathrm{d}^n q'\, \mathrm{d}^n p', \tag{18}$$

$\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2 \in \mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, and with the involution $\mathsf{J} \colon \mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathcal{A}^*$,

$$\mathcal{A}^*(q,p) := \overline{\mathcal{A}(-q,-p)}, \quad \mathcal{A} \in \mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n). \tag{19}$$

Note that involution J is formally identical to that arising in the classical case, but it acts in a different space. An element $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ is said to be *selfadjoint* if $\mathcal{A} = \mathsf{J}\,\mathcal{A}$. We will implicitly use the fact that the twisted convolution of a pair of functions in $\mathsf{C}_\mathsf{c}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ belongs to this space too; hence, $\mathsf{C}_\mathsf{c}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a $*$-subalgebra of $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. One can show, moreover, that $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \circledast \mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) = \mathsf{LQ}_n$ and $\mathsf{J}\,\mathsf{LQ}_n = \mathsf{LQ}_n$. Thus, LQ_n is another $*$-subalgebra of $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. Incidentally, we mention that the twisted convolution allows one to describe the norm with respect to which LQ_n becomes a Banach space isomorphic to LW_n. However, since this will not be relevant for our purposes, we will not insist on this point. It is instead worth anticipating that the twisted convolution can be regarded as an expression of the product of operators in terms of phase-space functions, see sect. 4, and with reference to this fact it is often called a *star product* [17, 18].

Clearly, the dual of the Hilbert space $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ can be identified with $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ itself; hence, the functions of quantum positive type should be square integrable.

Definition 2 *A positive, bounded linear functional on the* H**-algebra* $\big(\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n), \circledast, \mathsf{J}\big)$*, implemented by a square integrable function on* $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$*, is called a* function of quantum positive type*. Otherwise stated, we say that a function* $\mathcal{Q} \colon \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ *is of quantum positive type if it belongs to* $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ *and*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{Q}(q,p)\, (\mathcal{A}^* \circledast \mathcal{A})(q,p)\, \mathrm{d}^n q\, \mathrm{d}^n p \geq 0, \tag{20}$$

for all $\mathcal{A} \in \mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$*.*

Remark 5 Condition (20) is equivalent to the following:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{Q}(q,p)\, \overline{(\mathcal{A}^* \circledast \mathcal{A})(q,p)}\, \mathrm{d}^n q\, \mathrm{d}^n p \geq 0, \quad \forall \mathcal{A} \in \mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n). \tag{21}$$

This fact can be easily deduced from the relation $\mathcal{A}^* \circledast \mathcal{A} = \overline{(\overline{\mathcal{A}} \circledast (\overline{\mathcal{A}})^*)}$.

This definition introduces a notion of function of positive type, but at this stage, it is not evident why one should regard such an object as 'quantum'. To clarify this point, we will

argue that, as in the classical case, a function of quantum positive type can be characterized by means of various equivalent properties. One of these has a clear physical meaning.

It will be convenient, in the rest of this section, to adopt a shorthand notation for points on phase space and set: $z \equiv (q,p) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathrm{d}z \equiv \mathrm{d}^n q\, \mathrm{d}^n p$. The standard symplectic form will be denoted by ω, i.e.,

$$\omega(z,z') := q \cdot p' - p \cdot q'. \tag{22}$$

Using results from [26–28, 30], one can verify the following facts. If a *continuous* function $\mathcal{Q}$ is of quantum positive type, then it is bounded and

$$\|\mathcal{Q}\|_\infty = \mathcal{Q}(0). \tag{23}$$

Note that this relation is analogous to (4). Moreover, for a *continuous* function $\mathcal{Q}\colon \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ the following properties are equivalent:

Q1) $\mathcal{Q}$ is of quantum positive type;

Q2) $\mathcal{Q}$ satisfies condition (20), for all $\mathcal{A} \in \mathsf{C_c}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$;

Q3) $\mathcal{Q}$ satisfies the condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{Q}(z - z')\, \overline{\mathcal{A}(z')}\, \mathcal{A}(z)\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega(z',z)/2}\, \mathrm{d}z\, \mathrm{d}z' \geq 0, \tag{24}$$

for all $\mathcal{A} \in \mathsf{C_c}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$;

Q4) $\mathcal{Q}$ is a *quantum positive definite function*, i.e.,

$$\sum_{j,k} \mathcal{Q}(z_k - z_j)\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega(z_j,z_k)/2}\, \overline{c_j}\, c_k \geq 0, \tag{25}$$

for every finite set $\{z_1, \ldots, z_m\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and arbitrary complex numbers $c_1, \ldots, c_m$;

Q5) $\mathcal{Q}$ belongs to the convex cone $\mathsf{Q}_n \subset \mathsf{LQ}_n$, i.e., it is a multiple of the Fourier-Plancherel transform of a Wigner quasi-probability distribution.

The last characterization of functions of quantum positive type in the above list is clearly a quantum version of Bochner's theorem (Kastler 1965 [26], Loupias and Miracle-Sole 1966-1967 [27,28]). By this characterization, Q_n can be regarded as the set of continuous functions of quantum positive type, and LQ_n as the complex vector space generated by finite linear superpositions of functions of this kind. By relations (17) and (23), the convex set $\breve{\mathsf{Q}}_n$ of quantum characteristic functions coincides with the set of continuous functions of quantum positive type satisfying the normalization condition: $\|\mathcal{Q}\|_\infty = \mathcal{Q}(0) = 1$. For every $\mathcal{Q} \in \breve{\mathsf{Q}}_n$, the norm of $\mathcal{Q}$ as a functional (namely, as an element of $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$) verifies the relation

$$\|\mathcal{Q}\|_2 \leq 1, \tag{26}$$

for a suitable normalization of the Haar measure on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ (i.e., a multiple of the Lebesgue measure); see sect. 4 *infra*. This inequality is saturated if and only if $\mathcal{Q}$ is a *pure* state, fact that will become clear in sect. 4, where it will be argued that the square of $\|\mathcal{Q}\|_2$ is nothing but the purity of the density operator associated with $\mathcal{Q}$.

Remark 6 Recall that, in the classical case, a phase-space function of positive type is automatically continuous — precisely, it agrees almost everywhere with a continuous function. Thus, requiring continuity may be regarded as a way of removing the ambiguity in the choice of an item among all functions implementing the same functional on $\mathrm{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ (namely, among all functions giving rise to the same element of $\mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$). On the other hand, in the quantum case, continuity must be imposed in order to single out, up to normalization, precisely the quantum characteristic functions.

Comparing relations (3), (5) and (6) with (20), (24) and (25), respectively, one should note something more than a formal similarity. In the latter inequalities, a major role is played by the function

$$(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \times (\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \ni (z, z') \mapsto \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega(z,z')/2}, \tag{27}$$

which is a nontrivial multiplier for the group $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ [5], the multiplier associated with the Weyl system and with the integrated form of canonical commutation relations [51], whereas in inequalities (3), (5) and (6) the trivial multiplier is implicitly involved. This is quite an impressive fact: the symplectic structure that plays such a fundamental role in classical mechanics emerges in a spontaneous way in the quantum setting, e.g., in condition (24) satisfied by quantum characteristic functions.

3 Playing with functions of positive type

The *convolution* $\mu_1 \circledcirc \mu_2$ of a pair of positive measures $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathrm{CM}(G)$ on a locally compact group G —

$$\int_G \varphi(g)\, \mathrm{d}\mu_1 \circledcirc \mu_2(g) := \int_G \int_G \varphi(gh)\, \mathrm{d}\mu_1(g) \mathrm{d}\mu_2(h), \quad \varphi \in \mathsf{C_c}(G) \tag{28}$$

— is a positive measure in $\mathrm{CM}(G)$ too [20]; in particular, a probability measure if μ_1 and μ_2 are both normalized. Endowed with convolution the set $\mathrm{PM}(G)$ of probability measures on G becomes a semigroup. The identity of this semigroup is given by the Dirac measure at the identity of G. If G is abelian, to the convolution of probability measures corresponds, via the Fourier(-Stieltjes) transform, the point-wise multiplication of characteristic functions [21]. By Bochner's theorem, it follows that the point-wise product $\chi_1\, \chi_2$ of two continuous functions of positive type χ_1 and χ_1 on G is again a continuous function of positive type, and clearly point-wise multiplication preserves normalization too.

Therefore — considering now the case where $G = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ — endowed with the point-wise product of functions the set $\breve{\mathsf{P}}_n$ of normalized functions of classical positive type is a semigroup, the identity being the function $\chi \equiv 1$. The Fourier transform determines an algebraic isomorphisms between the semigroups $\mathrm{PM}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\breve{\mathsf{P}}_n$, which becomes a topological isomorphism if $\mathrm{PM}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\breve{\mathsf{P}}_n$ are endowed with the weak topology and the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets, respectively (Lévy's continuity theorem, see [22]). The latter topology turns out to coincide with the topology induced on $\breve{\mathsf{P}}_n$ by the weak*-topology of $\mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ (regarded as the dual of $\mathrm{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$), see [52, 53], which is the natural one in this context.

What happens with the point-wise multiplication of a function of *classical* positive type by a continuous function of *quantum* positive type?

Theorem 1 *The point-wise product $\chi\, \mathcal{Q}$ of a function $\chi \in \mathsf{P}_n$ by a function $\mathcal{Q} \in \mathsf{Q}_n$ belongs to Q_n; in particular, it belongs to $\breve{\mathsf{Q}}_n$ if χ and $\mathcal{Q}$ are normalized.*

Proof: First observe that condition (6), for $G = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, becomes

$$\sum_{j,k} \chi(z_k - z_j)\, \overline{c_j}\, c_k \geq 0, \quad z \equiv (q,p). \tag{29}$$

By Schur's product theorem [54], the Hadamard product (i.e., the entrywise product) of two positive (semi-definite) matrices is positive too. Applying this result to conditions (29) and (25), that for continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ are equivalent to the property of being of positive type — classical and quantum, respectively — one readily proves the result. □

The previous result allows us to play with the point-wise product of functions of positive type (classical or quantum). Consider then a *multiplication semigroup of functions of positive type*, i.e., a set $\{\chi_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ of normalized continuous functions of positive type on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\chi_t\, \chi_s = \chi_{t+s},\ t,s \geq 0, \quad \chi_0 \equiv 1, \tag{30}$$

where $\chi_t\, \chi_s$ is a point-wise product. We also require that the homomorphism

$$\mathbb{R}^+ \ni t \mapsto \chi_t \in \breve{\mathsf{P}}_n \tag{31}$$

be continuous (w.r.t. the the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets on $\breve{\mathsf{P}}_n$). Such semigroups can be classified. In fact, the symplectic Fourier transform of a multiplication semigroup of functions of positive type on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ is a (continuous) convolution semigroup of probability measures, and convolution semigroups on Lie groups admit a well known characterization associated with the Lévy-Kintchine formula [35, 55].

Given a multiplication semigroup of functions of positive type $\{\chi_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+} \subset \breve{\mathsf{P}}_n$, since χ_t is a bounded continuous function, we can define a bounded operator $\hat{\mathfrak{C}}_t$ in $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$\big(\hat{\mathfrak{C}}_t\, f\big)(q,p) := \chi_t(q,p)\, f(q,p), \quad f \in \mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n). \tag{32}$$

The set $\{\hat{\mathfrak{C}}_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ is a semigroup of operators [56], namely,

1. $\hat{\mathfrak{C}}_t\, \hat{\mathfrak{C}}_s = \hat{\mathfrak{C}}_{t+s}$, $t,s \geq 0$ (one-parameter semigroup property);
2. $\hat{\mathfrak{C}}_0 = I$ (where I is the identity operator).

Remark 7 Note that, since $|\chi_t(q,p)| \leq \chi_t(0) = 1$, $\{\hat{\mathfrak{C}}_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ is a *contraction semigroup*, i.e., $\|\hat{\mathfrak{C}}_t\| \leq 1$.

Remark 8 One may define an analogous contraction semigroup replacing the Hilbert space space $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ with the Banach space $\mathrm{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. The Fourier transform intertwines this further semigroup of operators with a *probability semigroup* in $\mathsf{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, describing (under a certain assumption) a drift-diffusion process [35–37]. We leave the details to the reader.

It is now natural to consider the restriction of the semigroup of operators $\{\hat{\mathfrak{C}}_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ to a linear subspace of $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. Indeed, as argued in sect. 2, by complex linear superpositions one can extend the convex cone Q_n of functions of quantum positive type on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ to a dense subspace LQ_n of $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. A semigroup of operators $\{\mathfrak{C}_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ in LQ_n is then defined as follows. Since, according to Theorem 1, the point-wise product of a continuous function of classical positive type by a continuous function quantum positive type is a function of the latter type, we can (consistently) set

$$\big(\mathfrak{C}_t\, \mathcal{Q}\big)(q,p) := \chi_t(q,p)\, \mathcal{Q}(q,p), \quad \mathcal{Q} \in \mathsf{LQ}_n, \tag{33}$$

where, with a slight abuse w.r.t. our previous notation, $\mathcal{Q}$ here denotes a linear superposition of four functions of quantum positive type:

$$\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_1 - \mathcal{Q}_2 + \mathrm{i}(\mathcal{Q}_3 - \mathcal{Q}_4), \quad \mathcal{Q}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{Q}_4 \in \mathsf{Q}_n\,. \tag{34}$$

It is clear, moreover, that we have:

$$\mathfrak{C}_t\,\mathsf{Q}_n \subset \mathsf{Q}_n, \quad \mathfrak{C}_t\,\breve{\mathsf{Q}}_n \subset \breve{\mathsf{Q}}_n. \tag{35}$$

We will call the semigroups of operators $\{\hat{\mathfrak{C}}_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ and $\{\mathfrak{C}_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ a *classical-quantum semigroup* and a *proper classical-quantum semigroup*, respectively. Up to this point, the introduction of these semigroups of operators may be regarded as a mere mathematical *divertissement*, based on the properties of functions of positive type. It turns out, however, that they do have a precise physical interpretation which can now be unveiled by means of suitable quantization-dequantization maps.

4 Introducing quantization-dequantization maps into the game

The procedure of associating with a quantum-mechanical operator (a state or an observable) a function on phase space — a Wigner function or a quantum characteristic function — may be thought of as the application of a suitable *dequantization map*, which can be considered as the reverse arrow of a *quantization map* transforming functions into operators. The definition of a *star product* [17,18,57] — the twisted convolution, in the case where quantum characteristic functions are involved — allows one to achieve a self-consistent formulation of quantum mechanics in terms of phase-space functions and, as discussed in sect. 2, a fundamental step of this construction is the notion of function of quantum positive type. In order to illustrate the meaning of this notion in terms of Hilbert space operators it is worth reconsidering the dequantization map in a slightly more formal setting, which also allows us to highlight its group-theoretical content.

A basic ingredient in the definition of a dequantization map is a *square integrable* (in general, projective) representation U of a locally compact group G in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$; see [13, 58, 59], and references therein. Here, $\mathcal{H}$ should be though of as the Hilbert space of a quantum-mechanical system and G as a symmetry group. Denoting by $\mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ the Hilbert space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators in $\mathcal{H}$, the representation U allows one to define a dequantization map

$$\mathscr{D}\colon \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathrm{L}^2(G), \tag{36}$$

see [13, 17, 18], which is a (linear) *isometry*. In the case where the group G is unimodular (e.g., a compact group) and we consider, in particular, a trace class operator $\hat{\rho}$ in $\mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$, the function $\mathscr{D}\hat{\rho}$ associated with $\hat{\rho}$ is of the form

$$(\mathscr{D}\hat{\rho})(g) = d_U^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}(U(g)^*\hat{\rho}), \tag{37}$$

where $d_U > 0$ is a normalization constant depending on U and on the choice of the Haar measure on G. The range $\mathrm{Ran}(\mathscr{D})$ of the dequantization map is a closed subspace of $\mathrm{L}^2(G)$. The quantization map associated with U is the adjoint of the dequantization map, i.e., it is the partial isometry $\mathscr{Q}$ defined by

$$\mathscr{Q} := \mathscr{D}^*\colon \mathrm{L}^2(G) \to \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H}). \tag{38}$$

Clearly, we have that $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathscr{Q}) = \mathrm{Ran}(\mathscr{D})^{\perp}$, and in general this kernel is not trivial. Also for the quantization map one can provide explicit formulae; see, e.g., [18]. At this point, the star product

$$(\cdot)\star(\cdot)\colon \mathrm{L}^2(G)\times\mathrm{L}^2(G)\to\mathrm{L}^2(G) \tag{39}$$

associated with U is defined by

$$f_1\star f_2 := \mathscr{D}((\mathscr{Q}f_1)(\mathscr{Q}f_2)), \tag{40}$$

with $(\mathscr{Q}f_1)(\mathscr{Q}f_2)$ denoting the ordinary product (composition) of the operators $\mathscr{Q}f_1$ and $\mathscr{Q}f_2$. Therefore, for a pair of functions living in the range of the dequantization map the star product is nothing but the dequantized version of the product of operators. Explicit formulae for star products can be found in [18].

Let us consider the case where G is the group of translations on phase space $\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n$ [17,18]. In this case, $\mathcal{H} = \mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\mathrm{L}^2(G) = \mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n) \equiv \mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n, (2\pi)^{-n}\mathrm{d}^n q\,\mathrm{d}^n p;\mathbb{C})$ (the Haar measure is normalized in such a way that in (37) $d_U = 1$) and the representation U has to be be identified with the *Weyl system* [18,51], i.e.,

$$U(q,p) = \exp(\mathrm{i}(p\cdot\hat{q} - q\cdot\hat{p}))\,, \tag{41}$$

where $\hat{q} = (\hat{q}_1,\ldots,\hat{q}_n)$, $\hat{p} = (\hat{p}_1,\ldots,\hat{p}_n)$, with $\hat{q}_j$, $\hat{p}_j$ denoting the j-th coordinate position and momentum operators in $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The Weyl system is a (square integrable) projective representation,

$$U(q+\tilde{q},p+\tilde{p}) = \mathsf{m}(q,p\,;\tilde{q},\tilde{p})\,U(q,p)\,U(\tilde{q},\tilde{p}), \tag{42}$$

where the multiplier m is given by

$$\mathsf{m}(q,p\,;\tilde{q},\tilde{p}) := \exp\Big(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}(q\cdot\tilde{p} - p\cdot\tilde{q})\Big). \tag{43}$$

It can be shown that for every density operator $\hat{\rho}$ in $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, denoting with ϱ the associated Wigner distribution, the function $\mathscr{D}\hat{\rho} = \mathrm{tr}(U(g)^*\hat{\rho})$ coincides with the quantum characteristic function $\widetilde{\varrho}$ defined by (16), see [13,17,18]. Therefore, since $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathrm{sp}} = \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathrm{sp}}^*$, we have:

$$\varrho = (2\pi)^{-n}\,\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathrm{sp}}\,\mathscr{D}\hat{\rho}; \tag{44}$$

namely, quantization-dequantization *à la* Weyl-Wigner is obtained by composing the maps $\mathscr{Q}$ and $\mathscr{D}$ with the symplectic Fourier-Plancherel operator.

One can prove, moreover, the following facts [17,18]:

1. In this case, $\mathrm{Ran}(\mathscr{D}) = \mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n)$, hence $\mathscr{Q}$ and $\mathscr{D}$ are unitary operators.

2. The quantization map $\mathscr{Q}$ intertwines the involution $\mathsf{J}\colon\mathcal{A}\mapsto\mathcal{A}^*$ in $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n)$, see (19), with the standard involution $\hat{A}\mapsto\hat{A}^*$ in $\mathcal{B}_2(\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$:

$$\mathscr{Q}\,\mathsf{J}\,\mathcal{A} = (\mathscr{Q}\,\mathcal{A})^*. \tag{45}$$

3. The star product defined by (40) coincides with the twisted convolution $(\cdot)\circledast(\cdot)$, see (18).

From the previous facts and the results outlined in subsect. 2.2 one draws the following further conclusions:

4. The quantum positivity condition (20), or (21), expressed in terms of operators, becomes the condition that $\hat{B} \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is such that

$$\mathrm{tr}(\hat{B}\,\hat{A}^*\hat{A}) \geq 0, \quad \forall\, \hat{A} \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)). \tag{46}$$

   This condition is equivalent to the fact that $\hat{B} \geq 0$.

5. Denoting by $\mathcal{B}_1(\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ the Banach space of trace class operators in $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and by $\mathcal{B}_1(\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))^+$ the *positive* trace class operators, we have that

$$\mathsf{LQ}_n = \mathscr{D}\,\mathcal{B}_1(\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)), \quad \mathsf{Q}_n = \mathscr{D}\,\mathcal{B}_1(\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))^+. \tag{47}$$

   Also note that, for every $\hat{\rho} \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))^+$, $\|\mathscr{D}\,\hat{\rho}\|_\infty = (\mathscr{D}\,\hat{\rho})(0) = \mathrm{tr}(\hat{\rho})$.

6. Therefore, the quantization map $\mathscr{Q} = \mathscr{D}^*$ transforms bijectively a function of quantum positive type into a positive Hilbert-Schmidt operator, a *continuous* function of quantum positive type into a positive trace class operator and a *normalized continuous* function of quantum positive type into a density operator. By relation (45), a function of quantum positive type $\mathcal{Q}$ is selfadjoint: $\mathcal{Q} = \mathsf{J}\,\mathcal{Q}$.

7. Denoting by $\|\cdot\|_2$ the norm of $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, with the normalization of the Haar measure fixed above, for every density operator $\hat{\rho}$ in $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have that

$$\|\mathscr{D}\,\hat{\rho}\|_2 = \sqrt{\mathrm{tr}(\hat{\rho}^2)} = \sqrt{(\mathscr{D}\,\hat{\rho}) \circledast (\mathscr{D}\,\hat{\rho})(0)} \leq 1; \tag{48}$$

   i.e., as anticipated in subsect. 2.2 (see (26)), the norm of a normalized continuous function of quantum positive type, regarded as a functional in $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, corresponds to the square root of the purity of the associated state.

We are finally ready to unveil the true nature of a classical-quantum semigroup, see (32) and (33). To this aim, first observe that associated with the Weyl system U we have a (strongly continuous) isometric representation $U \vee U$ of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ acting in the Banach space $\mathcal{B}_1(\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$, i.e.,

$$U \vee U(q,p) \colon \mathcal{B}_1(\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \ni \hat{\rho} \mapsto U(q,p)\,\hat{\rho}\,U(q,p)^* \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)), \tag{49}$$

which is the standard symmetry action of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ on trace class operators. Now, given a convolution semigroup $\{\mu_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ of probability measures on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, a semigroup of operators $\{\mu_t[U \vee U]\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ in $\mathcal{B}_1(\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is defined by setting

$$\mu_t[U \vee U]\,\hat{\rho} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n} U \vee U(g)\,\hat{\rho}\,\mathrm{d}\mu_t(q,p). \tag{50}$$

The integral of a vector-valued function on the rhs of (50) can be understood as a Bochner integral [36]. It can be shown that the semigroup of operators $\{\mu_t[U \vee U]\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$, a so-called *twirling semigroup*, is actually a quantum dynamical semigroup (a completely positive, trace-preserving semigroup of operators in $\mathcal{B}_1(\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$); see [35–37, 60].

Theorem 2 *Let $\{\chi_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ be the multiplication semigroup of functions of positive type associated, via the symplectic Fourier-Stieltjes transform, with the convolution semigroup $\{\mu_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$, i.e.,*

$$\chi_t(q,p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(q\cdot p' - p\cdot q')}\,\mathrm{d}\mu_t(q',p'), \tag{51}$$

and let $\{\mathfrak{C}_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ *be the proper classical-quantum semigroup associated with* $\{\chi_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$*. Then, the quantization map* $\mathscr{Q}$ *intertwines the semigroup of operators* $\{\mathfrak{C}_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ *with the quantum dynamical semigroup* $\{\mu_t[U\vee U]\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$*; namely, for every* $\mathcal{Q}\in\mathsf{LQ}_n$*,*

$$\mathscr{Q}\,\mathfrak{C}_t\,\mathcal{Q}=\mu_t[U\vee U]\,\mathscr{Q}\,\mathcal{Q},\quad t\geq 0. \tag{52}$$

Proof: The crucial observation is that $\mathscr{D}=\mathscr{Q}^*$ intertwines the representation $U\vee U$ with the representation $\mathcal{T}$ of $\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n$ in LQ_n defined by

$$\big(\mathcal{T}(q,p)\,\mathcal{Q}\big)(\tilde{q},\tilde{p}):=\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}(q\cdot\tilde{p}-p\cdot\tilde{q})}\;\mathcal{Q}(\tilde{q},\tilde{p}), \tag{53}$$

see [18]. Relation (52) then follows easily. □

We conclude observing that the isometric representation $U\vee U$ in $\mathcal{B}_1(\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ can be extended in a natural way to a unitary representation in $\mathcal{B}_2(\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$. This extension gives rise to a new semigroup of operators in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{B}_2(\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$, whose definition is completely analogous to (50). This semigroup of operators is unitarily equivalent, via the dequantization map, to the classical-quantum semigroup $\{\hat{\mathfrak{C}}_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ in $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n)$. We leave the details to the reader.

5 Conclusions and perspectives

Continuous functions of positive type on phase space play a remarkable role in classical statistical mechanics and in the WWGM formulation of quantum mechanics. In the classical setting, they can be defined as positive functionals on the group algebra $\big(\mathrm{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n),\circledcirc,\mathsf{I}\big)$, and continuity can be regarded as a byproduct of this definition. In the quantum setting, continuous functions of (quantum) positive type are embedded in the positive functionals on the twisted convolution H*-algebra $\big(\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n),\circledast,\mathsf{J}\big)$. This corresponds, via quantization, to the embedding of positive trace class operators in the positive Hilbert-Schmidt operators. We have therefore found it natural to consider these functionals, implemented by square integrable functions, as a quantum analogue of functions of (classical) positive type. The functions representing quantum states are characterized in this framework precisely by the property of being continuous and by a suitable normalization condition, which in general differs from their normalization as functionals.

Note that, following [20] — where (standard) functions of positive type are discussed in detail — we have made a precise distinction between *functions of positive type* and *positive definite functions*, both in the classical and in the quantum setting. The two notions coincide if the functions involved are continuous.

Analyzing the fundamental properties of functions of classical and quantum positive type it is also natural to consider a class of semigroup of operators, the *classical-quantum semigroups*. We have recently introduced these semigroups of operators in [32], with the aim of highlighting their relations with other classes of semigroups of operators and the role that they play in quantum information science. As shown in sect. 4, by quantizing a classical-quantum semigroup one obtains a quantum dynamical semigroup belonging to the class of *twirling semigroups*. Every twirling semigroup is generated by a pair formed by a projective representation U of a locally compact group and by a convolution semigroup $\{\mu_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ of probability measures on that group [35–37]. In the case where U is a Weyl system, and $\{\mu_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ is a *Gaussian* convolution semigroup [35, 37, 55], the associated twirling semigroup consists of certain quantum dynamical maps called *classical-noise channels* in the context of quantum

information, see [61] and references therein. Therefore, borrowing this terminology we can conclude that, under a suitable assumption, by quantizing a classical-quantum semigroup one obtains a *classical-noise semigroup*.

Here we have focused on the group-theoretical background of classical-quantum semigroups; in particular, on their relation with functions of positive type. This study should pave the way to extend the results obtained for the group of translations on phase space to other groups. We believe that a basic ingredient for achieving this extension should be a suitable generalization of the notion of function of positive type on a locally compact group, and that in this regard previous results on group-theoretical star products [18] should be a useful starting point.

Acknowledgments

The author wishes to dedicate this paper, with admiration and gratitude, to M.A. Man'ko and V.I. Man'ko, shining examples of scientists. The author also wishes to thank the organizers of the international conference *Operator and Geometric Analysis on Quantum Theory* (14-19 September 2014, Levico Terme, Italy), where the main results of the paper were presented, for their kind hospitality.

References

[1] Weyl H 1927 Quantenmechanik und Gruppentheorie *Z. Phys.* **46** 1

[2] Wigner E P 1959 *Group Theory and its Application to the Quantum Mechanics of Atomic Spectra* (New York: Academic)

[3] Mackey G W 1978 *Unitary Group Representations in Physics, Probability and Number Theory* (Reading, MA: Benjamin/Cummings)

[4] Mackey G W 1992 *The Scope and History of Commutative and Noncommutative Harmonic Analysis* (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society)

[5] Varadarajan V S 1985 *Geometry of Quantum Theory* 2nd edn (New York: Springer)

[6] Varadarajan V S 2004 *Supersymmetry for Mathematicians: An Introduction* (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society)

[7] Folland G B 2008 *Quantum Field Theory* (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society)

[8] Wigner E 1932 On the quantum correction for thermodinamic equilibrium *Phys. Rev.* **40** 749

[9] Groenewold H J 1946 On the principles of elementary quantum mechanics *Physica* **12** 405

[10] Moyal J E 1949 Quantum mechanics as a statistical theory *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **45** 99

[11] Folland G B 1989 *Harmonic Analysis in Phase Space* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press)

[12] Hillery M, O'Connell R F, Scully M O and Wigner E P 1984 Distribution functions in physics: fundamentals *Phys. Rep.* **106** 121

[13] Ali S T, Antoine J P and Gazeau J P 2000 *Coherent States, Wavelets and Their Generalizations* (New York: Springer)

[14] Schleich W P 2001 *Quantum Optics in Phase Space* (Berlin: Wiley-VCH)

[15] Dodonov V V and Man'ko V I eds 2003 *Theory of Nonclassical States of Light* (London: Taylor & Francis)

[16] Aniello P, Man'ko V, Marmo G, Solimeno S and Zaccaria F 2000 On the coherent states, displacement operators and quasidistributions associated with deformed quantum oscillators *J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt.* **2** 718

[17] Aniello P, Man'ko V I and Marmo G 2008 Frame transforms, star products and quantum mechanics on phase space *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **41** 285304

[18] Aniello P 2009 Star products: a group-theoretical point of view *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **42** 475210

[19] Godement R 1948 Les fonctions de type positif et la theorie des groupes *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **63** 1

[20] Folland G B 1995 *A Course in Abstract Harmonic Analysis* (Boca Raton, FL: CRC)

[21] Rudin W 1962 *Fourier Analysis on Groups* (New York: Interscience)

[22] Klenke A 2014 *Probability theory* 2nd edn (London: Springer)

[23] Emch G G 1984 *Mathematical and Conceptual Foundations of 20th-Century Physics* (Amsterdam: North-Holland)

[24] Strocchi F 2005 *An Introduction to the Mathematical Structure of Quantum Mechanics* (Singapore: World Scientific)

[25] Folland G B 1984 *Real Analysis* (New York: John Wiley & Sons)

[26] Kastler D 1965 The C*-algebras of free boson field *Commun. Math. Phys.* **1** 14

[27] Loupias G and Miracle-Sole S 1966 C*-algèbre des systèmes canoniques. I *Commun. Math. Phys.* **2** 31

[28] Loupias G and Miracle-Sole S 1967 C*-algèbre des systèmes canoniques. II *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Sect. A* **6** 39

[29] Narcowich F J and O'Connell F R 1986 Necessary and sufficient conditions for a phase-space function to be a Wigner dstribution *Phys. Rev. A* **34** 1

[30] Narcowich F J 1989 Distributions of $\hbar$-positive type and applications *J. Math. Phys.* **30** 2565

[31] Lupo C, Aniello P and Scardicchio A 2008 Bipartite quantum systems: on the realignment criterion and beyond *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **41** 415301

[32] Aniello P 2014 Classical-quantum semigroups, *submitted*

[33] Holevo A S 2001 *Statistical Structure of Quantum Theory* (Berlin: Springer)

[34] Breuer H-P and Petruccione F 2002 *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems* (Oxford: Oxford University Press)

[35] Aniello P, Kossakowski A, Marmo G and Ventriglia F 2010 Brownian motion on Lie groups and open quantum systems *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **43** 265301

[36] Aniello P 2011 On a certain class of semigroups of operators *Open Syst. & Inf. Dynamics* **18** 129

[37] Aniello P 2013 Quantum dynamical semigroups, group representations and convolution semigroups *Physica Scripta* **T153** 014003

[38] Murphy G J 1990 C*-*algebras and Operator Theory* (San Diego, CA: Academic)

[39] Eymard P 1964 L'algèbre de Fourier d'un group localement compact *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **92** 181

[40] Husimi K 1940 Some formal properties of the density matrix *Proc. Phys. Math. Soc. Japan* **22** 264

[41] Kano Y 1965 A new phase-space distribution function in the statistical theory of the electromagnetic field *J. Math. Phys.* **6** 1913

[42] Sudarshan E C G 1963 Equivalence of semiclassical and quantum mechanical descriptions of statistical light beams *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **10** 277

[43] Mehta C L and Sudarshan E C G 1965 Relation between quantum and semiclassical description of optical coherence *Phys. Rev.* **138** B274

[44] Glauber R J 1963 Photon correlations *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **10** 84

[45] Cahill K E and Glauber R J 1969 Ordered expansions on boson amplitude operators *Phys. Rev.* **177** 1857

[46] Cahill K E and Glauber R J 1969 Density operators and quasi-probability distributions *Phys. Rev.* **177** 1882

[47] Mancini S, Man'ko V I and Tombesi P 1996 Symplectic tomography as classical approach to quantum systems *Phys. Lett. A* **213** 1

[48] Daubechies I 1983 Continuity statements and counterintuitive examples in connection with Weyl quantization *J. Math. Phys.* **24** 1453

[49] Daubechies I 1980 On the distributions corresponding to bounded operators in the Weyl quantization *Commun. Math. Phys.* **75** 229

[50] Rickart C E 1960 *General Theory of Banach Algebras* (Princeton, NJ: Van Nostrand)

[51] Aniello P 2010 On the notion of Weyl system *Journal of Russian Laser Research* **31** 102

[52] Raikov D A 1947 On various types of convergence of positive definite functions *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **58** 1279

[53] Yoshizawa H 1949 On various types of convergence of positive definite functions *Osaka Math. J.* **1** 90

[54] Horn R A and Johnson C R 2013 *Matrix Analysis* 2nd edn (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)

[55] Heyer H 1977 *Probability Measures on Locally Compact Groups* (Berlin: Springer)

[56] Yosida K 1968 *Functional Analysis* (Berlin: Springer)

[57] Aniello P, Ibort A, Man'ko V I and Marmo G 2009 Remarks on the star product of functions on finite and compact groups *Phys. Lett. A* **373** 401

[58] Aniello P, Cassinelli G, De Vito E and Levrero A 1998 Square-integrability of induced representations of semidirect products *Rev. Math. Phys.* **10** 301

[59] Aniello P 2006 Square integrable projective representations and square integrable representations modulo a relatively central subgroup *Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.* **3** 233

[60] Aniello P 2013 Operators versus functions: from quantum dynamical semigroups to tomographic semigroups *J. Phys.: Conf. Series* **474** 012005

[61] Giovannetti V, Lloyd S, Maccone L, Shapiro J H and Yen B J 2004 Minimum Rényi and Wehrl entropies at the output of bosonic channels *Phys. Rev. A* **70** 022328